

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Brc. 1450 Alexandra, Virguita 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/074,814	02/13/2002	Mitchell J. Hubert	004027.00019	8084
26799	7590 06/23/2005		EXAM	INER
IP LEGAL DEPARTMENT TYCO FIRE & SECURITY SERVICES			WEBB, GREGORY E	
ONE TOWN CENTER ROAD BOCA RATON, FL 33486			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1751	

DATE MAILED: 06/23/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	$\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{M}}$	<i>~</i>
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/074,814	HUBERT ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Gregory E. Webb	1751
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	.136(a). In no event, however, may a ply within the statutory minimum of thi I will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO te, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 № This action is FINAL. 2b) This action for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal ma	
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 19-21 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 19-21 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptable and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin 10.	cepted or b) objected to e drawing(s) be held in abeya ction is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in a corrective documents have been au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

0/20/05

Application/Control Number: 10/074,814

Art Unit: 1751

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

- 2. Claims 19-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Grawe (US 5,509,969).
- 3. Grawe teaches a process for treating a surface contaminated with an acidic or basic contaminant (see abstract). Grawe teaches the use of a neutralizing agent for the entrapment of the contaminant (see cols. 3-4). Grawe teaches the use of various acid-neutralizing components

Application/Control Number: 10/074,814

Art Unit: 1751

including hydroxides, phosphates, silicates and amines (see col. 7, lines 25-50) and the use of basic neutralizing agents including mineral acids and organic acids (see col. 7, lines 50-68).

- 4. Concerning the foaming, Grawe teaches the use of various wetting agents which would inherently create a foaming composition when used in the claimed concentration range of 0.05-6% of the composition. It should be further noted that both the instant invention and Grawe teach the use of sodium alkyl sulfates for this purpose (see col. 8, lines 50-68). Grawe further teaches the use of foam enchancement compounds (see col. 9, lines 20-35).
- 5. Claims 19-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Stern (US 5,026,735).
- 6. Stern teaches the treatment of hazardous material by spraying an aqueous air foam to the surface of the hazardous material (see abstract).
- 7. Stern teaches the creation of a foaming system to entrap the hazardous waste (see col. 3, lines 5-65).
- 8. Stern teaches the inclusion of pH modifiers to adjust the pH of the composition (see col. 7, lines 22-45). Stern teaches adjusting the composition pH to above 9.5 or alternatively below 4.5 (see col. 9, lines 1-39).

Conclusion

Although Grawe and Stern teach foamable compositions, the specific components found in these compositions varies. The inclusion of specific components required by the instant invention and not taught by the prior art of record would potentially put this case in condition for allowance. For example the use of the specific APG surfactants exemplified in the instant

Application/Control Number: 10/074,814

Art Unit: 1751

application are not taught in the prior art of record, nor would it have been obvious to pick these specific surfactants in light of the thousands of surfactants available on the market.

Page 4

The remainder of the prior art has been cited to illustrate other state-of-the art methods of treating hazardous waste using a foaming composition.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gregory E. Webb whose telephone number is 571-272-1325. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00-17:30 (m-f).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Yogendra Gupta can be reached on 571-272-1316. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Gregory E. Webb Primary Examiner Art Unit 1751

gew